

Appendix 2 – Summary of objection and officer's comments

	Objector	Reason for objection	Comment
1	Property owner	<p>Queries whether the parking space will return to normal use if the applicant vacates the property.</p> <p>The applicant's mobility is not sufficient to warrant the provision of a disabled bay.</p> <p>The disabled bay will take up a space for visiting guests in the immediate location.</p> <p>Cones are being used to stop other cars from parking there.</p>	<p>If a report is received that a bay is no longer required by the original applicant, we would carry out a usage survey of the affected properties. If the responses indicate that the bay is no longer required, we would make the necessary arrangements for the bay to be removed.</p> <p>The applicant receives the Enhanced Rate mobility component of Personal Independence Payment, which is awarded following an assessment from Adult Social Care. The applicant would therefore be automatically entitled to the provision of a bay as they meet the other criteria.</p> <p>The disabled resident is permanently based at this address and may experience considerable hardship if they are unable to park close to their property at times when the demand for parking is high. The bay is available for use by any vehicle that displays a valid blue badge and therefore any disabled visitors may also use the bay if vacant.</p> <p>Cones should not be placed on the public highway by residents. Whilst the placement of cones has not been witnessed by the assessing officer, this may be symptomatic of the parking difficulties at this location, and the provision of a legitimate disabled bay would nullify the need for such measures.</p>